

**Post\_title:** 64: Sutton St Edmund

**ID1:** 1708

**comment\_author:** Longstaffs

**comment\_content:**

we attach herewith a plan on which are marked two sites edged red, one on Broadgate within the settlement boundary, and one on Cross Road, outside the settlement boundary. We consider the site on Broadgate, currently a farmyard, would be a suitable site for residential development, and we would ask that this site be considered by the planning policy unit. We have identified the second site in Cross Road as another suitable site, and whilst our client has not sought to put this site forward as a suitable site for housing before, we now ask that the area of this land be included in the village settlements boundary. Please note that it is: a) Mirroring development of the land on the north side of Cross Lane, b) A continuation of the development line on the south side of Cross Road, up to a defined area of recreational facility - the village playing field. C) Well serviced with pathways and street lighting. We consider that the site form truly fits with the criteria adopted and used as a spatial strategy tool, as being of 'the best fit with the character, form and scale and appearance of the settlement and with least detriment to the surrounding area'. We therefore ask that the settlement boundary is revised to incorporate this area on Cross Road.

**Officer Comment:**

This has been registered as Sue002 & Sue003 in the SHLAA

**Officer Recommendation:**

The sites should not be taken forward as a Preferred Housing Site.

# South East Lincolnshire Local Plan 2011-2036 Draft for Consultation January 2016

ID1: 1709 comment\_author: Longstaffs

comment content:

we attach herewith a plan on which is marked edged red, a site on Broadgate outside the settlement boundary. We consider the site would be a suitable site to include with the area defined as the settlement boundary. Please note that it is: a) Mirroring development of the land on the east side of Broadgate, b) A continuation of the development line on the west site of Broadgate, and falling in the middle of the linear form of development in the village. C) Well serviced with pathways and street lighting. We consider that the inclusion of the site within the settlement boundary truly fits with the criteria adopted and used as a spatial strategy tool, as being in a form which 'the best fit with the character, form and scale and appearance of the settlement and with least detriment to the surrounding area'. We therefore ask that the settlement boundary is revised to incorporate this area on Broadgate.

Officer Comment:

This has been registered as Sue001 in the SHLAA

Officer Recommendation:

The site should not be taken forward as a Preferred Housing Site.