

Identifying potential housing sites in Wrangle

The Requirement - the emerging Local Plan seeks the development of approximately 100 dwellings at Wrangle between 2011 and 2036.

Completions - 8 new homes were built in Wrangle between 1st April 2011 and 31st March 2015.

Commitments – as at 31st March 2015, planning permission was outstanding for the construction of 40 dwellings in Wrangle. There is no evidence to suggest that these planning permissions will not be implemented during the Plan period.

Residual requirement - thus, the identification of land to accommodate approximately 52 dwellings is required.

Education – the County Education Department has commented that there appears to be some capacity at primary level, and a small amount of potential capacity at secondary level.

Flood risk – the Environment Agency has made the following comments:

- Allocations in areas of hazard would need to ensure that finished floor levels (FFL) are raised to the appropriate level with additional flood resilient construction incorporated into proposals. Developers would need to confirm that they can achieve the required mitigation and that their proposals would still be deliverable.
- Flood Risk Mitigation Policy to ensure 'safe' development. Requirements for FFL:
 - depths 0.5 1m FFL to be set 1m above ground level, flood resilient construction shall be used to a height 300mm above the predicted flood level, (single storey proposals must consider the 0.1% +climate change event for setting FFL)
 - depths of 0.25 0.5 FFL to be set 500mm above ground level, flood resilient construction shall be used to a height 300mm above the predicted flood level;
 - depths 0 0.25 FFL to be set 300mm above ground level.

Anglian Water has commented that the capacity of the surface water network has major constraints, and that all developments should seek to reduce flood risk and incorporate Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS). They indicate that surface water may not be discharged to the public foul sewerage network, and that no new surface water flow will be permitted to discharge to the combined network.

Sewage Treatment – the Environment Agency has commented that Old Leake Water Recycling Centre (Sewage Treatment Works) has capacity for 690 houses.

Anglian Water has commented that the Water Recycling Centre has capacity available to serve the proposed growth, and that the foul sewerage network has

capacity to accommodate most sites but that enhancements to the capacity of the network may be necessary to accommodate the development of one site.

Water Supply – Anglian Water has commented that, whilst water resources are adequate to serve the proposed growth, upgrades to the supply network may be required to serve the sites.

Developable sites

The South East Lincolnshire Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment identifies the following sites at Wrangle which:

- do not have a residential planning permission (or are not subject to a Committee resolution to grant permission);
- are assessed as developable, or are undevelopable only as a consequence of availability issues; and
- will deliver 10 or more dwellings.

Wra013	Flood Zone 3a	Flood Hazard (2115) Danger for some	Flood depth (2115) 0.25 to 0.5 metre	Capacity 45	 lower flood risk screened from view from most directions access could be provided from Tooley Lane or Main Road may require enhancement to the water supply network may require enhancement to the network capacity to receive foul water its development would potentially deliver 85% of the village's needs in a single site no developer involved
					- no developer involved
Wra014 and Wra011	3a	Danger for most	0.5 to 1 metre	15	 screened from view from most directions may require enhancement to the water supply network poorer flood risk no developer involved
Wra015	3a	Danger for most	0.5 to 1 metre	28	 highway improvements would be required – highway drainage, upgraded street lighting, and a footway on the western side of Broadgate as far as Main Road may require enhancement to the water supply network will have greater visual impacts than

		alternative sites
		poorer flood risk
		no developer involved